1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	Northern District of California		
10	Oakland Division		
11	I.E.I COMPANY, et al.,	No. C 09-05079 PJH (LB)	
12	Plaintiffs	ORDER CONTINUING JANUARY 20, 2011 HEARING AND SETTING	
13	v. ADVANCE CULTURAL EDUCATION, et al., 2011 HEARING AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE		
14			
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On December 9, 2010, this court issued an order finding that Defendants had violated Federal		
18	Rules of Civil Procedure 33 and 34 and the court's prior discovery orders by not responding to		
19	Plaintiffs' interrogatories and document requests. 12/09/10 Order, ECF No. 101 at 4. At the		
20	December 9, 2010 discovery hearing, Plaintiffs indicated that they intended to file a motion for		
21	sanctions. As a result, the court's December 9 order established the following briefing schedule for		
22	Plaintiffs' motion:		
23	Motion due date:	December 16, 2010	
24	Opposition due date:	December 30, 2010	
25	Optional reply due date:	January 6, 2011	
26	Hearing date:	January 20, 2011, 11 a.m.	
27	See id. at 5.		
28	Plaintiffs filed a motion for sanctions on December 16, 2010. Motion, ECF No. 103. In their		
	C 09-05079 ORDER CONTINUING 1/20/11 HEARING AN	ID SETTING RRIEFING SCHEDLILF	

1	motion, Plaintiffs ask the court to impose case dispositive sanctions, among other things, as a		
2	consequence of Defendants' failure to cooperate in discovery. Id. at 16-22. At the November 18 and		
3	December 9, 2010 discovery hearings and in the court's November 19 and December 9, 2010 orders,		
4	the court informed Defendants that failure to comply with discovery orders could result in sanctions		
5	including case dispositive sanctions. See 11/18/10 Tr., ECF No. 99 at 36:23 – 37:5; 12/9/10 Tr.,		
6	ECF No. 106 at 11:6 – 12:5; 11/19/10 Order, ECF No. 96 at 5; 12/9/10 Order, ECF No. 101 at 5.		
7	On December 29, 2010, the district court granted defense counsel's motion to withdraw from the		
8	case as counsel of record for Defendants. 12/29/10 Order, ECF No. 107 at 1. Because one of the		
9	defendants is a corporation that may not appear in the case without counsel, the district court ordered		
10	Defendants to provide notice of substitution of counsel no later than February 4, 2011. <i>Id</i> .		
11	In light of the gravity of the sanctions requested by Plaintiffs in this case, the court HEREBY		
12	CONTINUES oral argument on Plaintiffs' motion for sanctions and extends the corresponding		
13	briefing schedule to provide Defendants with sufficient opportunity to acquire new counsel and to		
14	file an opposition to the motion. The court sets the following schedule:		
15	Opposition due date: February 17, 2011		
16	Optional reply due date: February 24, 2011		
17	Hearing date: March 17, 2011, 11 a.m.		
18	The hearing set for January 20, 2011 is HEREBY VACATED . This briefing schedule will		
19	remain in effect regardless of whether Defendants obtain new counsel. The court again warns		
20	Defendants that failure to comply with the court's orders may result in sanctions that may include		
21	entry of default judgment.		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23	Dated: January 4, 2011		
24	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge		
25	Cintou States Magistrate Juage		
26			

27

28